2			
3			
4			
5	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT		
6	FOR THE		
7	COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS		
8			
9	JUANA S. CRISOSTOMO) Civil Action No. 00-0274B	
10	Plaintiff,))	
11	vs.) DECISION FOLLOWING TRIAL	
12	EDWARD I. SABLAN , MOYLAN'S INSURANCE COMPANY, LTD., and))	
13	DONGBU INSURANCE COMPÁNY, LTD.,))	
14	Defendants and Real Party in))	
15	Interest.))	
16	INTRODUCTION		
17 18	¶1 On November 14, 1999, at approximately 6:30 p.m., Plaintiff Juana S. Crisostomo was in		
19	the process of making a left-hand turn from Highway 16 in Dan Dan when a pickup, operated by		
20	Defendant Edward I. Sablan, collided into the rear end of her vehicle. At all times material hereto,		
21	Defendant Sablan was duly insured under a public liability policy issued by Defendant Moylan's		
22	Insurance Company, Ltd. ("Moylan's") as general agent for Dongbu Insurance Co., Ltd.		
23	("Dongbu"). At the trial of this matter, moreover, neither Defendant's negligence nor the absence		
24	of Plaintiff's contributory negligence was	disputed. Defendants, moreover, have agreed to	
25			
26	The parties stipulated that Moylan's, as general agent for Dongbu, contracted with Defendant Sablan to		
27	machine and the side of the control		
28	in its stead. <i>See</i> Unopposed Motion to Substitute Real Party in Interest and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as to Insurance Company Liability, filed May 16, 2001.		

 $\P 2$

decision.

From the facts giving rise to this accident, the court finds that Defendant Sablan negligently failed to maintain a proper lookout and collided into the rear of Plaintiff's stopped vehicle. Although Plaintiff sought damages in her complaint for personal injuries and pain and suffering in

the hundreds of thousands of dollars, Defendants dispute the nature and the scope of the personal

compensate Plaintiff for the damage sustained by her vehicle. Consequently, the only issue awaiting

disposition by the court is the amount of economic and noneconomic damages, if any, sustained by

Pixley, Esq., appeared for the Plaintiff, and Jihan Martinez, Esq. and Thomas E. Clifford, Esq.

appeared for the Defendant. Based on the evidence presented, the testimony of the parties, and the

court's review of the file in this matter, the court now makes the following findings and issues its

II. FACTS

The matter came before the court for trial in courtroom 217A on July 9, 2001. Steven P.

injuries suffered by Plaintiff.

the Plaintiff for personal injuries.

Plaintiff testified that, at the time of the impact, she was wearing her seat belt and checking out traffic in her side and rear mirrors. When her vehicle was hit from behind, her neck was therefore extended, and she experienced pain between her shoulder blades and in her lower neck. Following the injury, she was transported by ambulance to the Emergency Room at the Commonwealth Health Center where she was diagnosed with neck and upper back strain and treated with muscle relaxers and pain medication (Exs. "E" and "F"). A course of physical therapy was also recommended (Ex. "G").

Plaintiff testified that although she experienced temporary facial paralysis and considerable discomfort as a result of the injuries sustained in this accident, she has not experienced any permanent disability. Nor did she introduce any evidence establishing that she would require future medical care or therapy. Although an award for future economic loss on these facts would therefore be unwarranted, the court finds that Plaintiff did miss 77 hours of work. *See* Ex. "I." At her hourly

rate, Plaintiff is thus entitled to \$1,575.42 in lost wages.² Plaintiff further made several trips to Guam for medical treatment and seeks reimbursement for airfare for round trip tickets that she purchased for herself and her escort, Leon Taisacan, in the amount of \$858.72. The court concludes that Plaintiff is entitled to recover these costs, and is further entitled to compensatory damages for medical expenses incurred during treatment in Guam and at the Commonwealth Health Center in the additional amount of \$2,647.95. See Ex."H."

¶5 In evaluating the extent of Plaintiff's noneconomic damages, the court found the testimony of two witnesses persuasive. Accident reconstructionist Dr. Frank Perez testified that, based upon his review of certain photographs of the damaged vehicles (Ex. B-2 through B-76 and Exs. 31,32, 62 and 64) as well as relevant police and accident reports, the "vehicle transfer speed" was, at best, only three to four miles per hour. Dr. Perez' findings were essentially undisputed. Based upon the vehicle transfer speed along with the absence of any significant damage to Plaintiff's neck, spine and chest, Dr. John W. Henrickson, Defendants' medical expert, subsequently concluded that Plaintiff's claim for non-economic damages should be discounted. Although Plaintiff claimed to have experienced temporary paralysis in half of her face as well as one side of her body, Dr. Henrickson pointed out the medical improbability of any such injury and emphasized that, in any event, Plaintiff did not require long-term physical therapy or take extended leave from work, Plaintiff submitted no expert testimony to contradict the findings of Dr. Henrickson, and although she subpoenaed internist Dr. James Hofschneider to give testimony on her behalf, Dr. Hofschneider was unable to appear and indicated, in a letter to the court, that in his opinion, Plaintiff's cause would be better served by the testimony from a neurosurgeon, orthopedic specialist, or other licensed physician with more experience and training in trauma medicine and its long-term effects.

A person injured at the hands of another may experience pain, discomfort, fears, as well as anxiety and other mental and emotional distress, also known as "pain and suffering." No definite standard or method of calculation is prescribed by law by which the court is expected to fix

22

23

25

26

27

² Plaintiff's annual salary is \$42,558.00. Her hourly rate is \$20.46.

1	reasonable compensation for these injuries, nor is the opinion of any witness required in determining	
2	the amount of reasonable compensation. In making an award for pain and suffering, the only	
3	restriction is that the award of damages be just and reasonable in the light of the evidence. E.g.,	
4	Salgado v. County of Los Angeles, 19 Cal.4th 629, 80 Cal. Rptr.2d 46 (1998) (quoting CAL.JURY	
5	INSTRUCT CIVIL 14.13 (8 th ed.1994); <i>Beaulieu v. Elliott</i> , 434 P.2d 665, 674-76 (Alaska 1967).	
6	¶7 Plaintiff failed to provide evidence to counter the testimony of Defendants' experts, and she	
7	has not convinced the court that the accident caused her to limit or discontinue, in a significant	
8	manner, any life activities. At the same time, the court heard evidence that Plaintiff has become	
9	more introverted and that she no longer enjoys, as she once did, parties and dancing. Accordingly,	
10	and based on the circumstances of this case including, but not limited to, the medical evidence	
11	presented, the court finds an award of \$10,000 to be fair and reasonable compensation for Plaintiff's	
12	non-economic losses.	
13	CONCLUSION	
14	¶8 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that judgment enter jointly and severally in favor of	
15	Plaintiff and against Defendants Edward I. Sablan and Dongbu Insurance Co., Ltd in the amount of	
16	\$15,082.09 on Plaintiff's claim for personal injuries.	
17		
18	SO ORDERED this 19th day of October, 2001.	
19		
20	BY THE COURT:	
21		
22	/s/	
23	TIMOTHY H. BELLAS, Associate Judge	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	4	