2 3 IN THE SUPERIOR FOURT •4 FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS Ō ISLAND AMUSEMENT CORPORATION, Civil Action No. 94-166 7 Plaintiff, 8 DECISION AND ORDER 9 **v** . WESTERN INVESTORS, INC., 10 Defendant, 11 12 MUNICIPALITY OF SAIPAN, 13 Party-in-Intervention) 14 15 10

Defendant Western Investors, Inc.'s (WII) motion for summary judgment and the cross-motions of Plaintiff Island Amusement Corp. (IAC) and Party-in-Intervention Municipality of Saipan (Mayor) for partial summary judgment. The Court has had the opportunity to hear oral argument and review legal memoranda from all parties concerned, and now renders its decision.

23

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

FOR PUBLICATION

I. FACTS

In July of 1993, the Mayor of Saipan (Mayor) issued a license to IAC permitting it to operate bingo games on the Island of Saipan from July 3, 1993 until July 2, 1994. All parties agree that the Mayor of Saipan relied on Title 6, Chapter 4, Sections 31-37 of the Saipan Municipal Code (SMC) enacted in 1959 when he issued IAC the bingo license. On February 15, 1994, approximately seven months after IAC received its license, WII received a similar license to operate bingo games from the Department of Commerce and Labor (Department). WWI immediately began operating the Lucky Strike Bingo Parlor and continued to do so over the objections of IAC executives who were convinced that they held the sole bingo license for Saipan.

By the end of February, IAC filed a Complaint seeking injunctive relief and damages for WII's alleged unlicensed operation of a bingo establishment. IAC's claim is based on its belief that: (1) 6 SMC §§ 31-37 (the Ordinance) is in full force and effect as Commonwealth law; (2) the Ordinance provides that the Mayor is the sole distributor of bingo licenses on Saipan and is limited to issuing one bingo license per year; (3) IAC was the recipient of the sole license for the period from July 3, 1993 through July 2, 1994; and that (4) WII has operated its bingo parlor without a proper license because the license it received from the Department is invalid. WII does not dispute that the Ordinance authorizes the Mayor to issue one bingo license or thathe issued it to IAC. Rather, WII contends that the Ordinance is no longer in force or effect, and that the Department was free to grant WII a bingo license through its general authority to grant

business licenses enunciated in Title 1, Section 2453 (d) of the Commonwealth Code.

On May 27, 1994, the Court denied IAC's motion for preliminary injunction recognizing that both parties had apparent authority to operate bingo parlors in the C.N.M.I. Likewise, on July 6, 1994, the Court denied WII's motion to dismiss noting that much of the dispute concerned substantive legal questions that could be better addressed in a motion for summary judgment. On July 19, 1994, WII filed its motion for summary judgment contending that no genuine issue of material fact exists because the Ordinance upon which IAC bases its claim has been effectively repealed. On the same day, the Court allowed the Mayor to enter the litigation in order to seek declaratory relief as a party plaintiff. On July 27, 1994, IAC and Saipan filed their respective cross-motions for partial summary judgment and for a declaration that the Ordinance remains in full force and effect in the Commonwealth.

II. ISSUE

Whether Title 6, Chapter 4, Sections 31-37 of the Saipan Municipal Code is still in full force and effect in the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

III. ANALYSIS

A. Summary Judgment Standard

Summary judgment is entered against a party if, viewing the facts in the light most favorable to the non-moving party, the Court finds as a matter of law that the moving party is entitled

to the relief requested. Cabrera v. Heirs of De Castro, 1 N.M.I. 172, 176 (1990). Once the moving party meets its initial burden of showing entitlement to judgment as a matter of law, the burden shifts to the non-moving party to show a genuine dispute of material fact. Id. at 176.

B. Article XXI

Although WII does not dispute that the Ordinance authorizes the Mayor to distribute a sole bingo license for the Island of Saipan annually, WII contends that the Article XXI gambling prohibition contained in the 1985 amendments to the Commonwealth Constitution effectively eradicated the Ordinance. Article XXI provides: "Gambling is prohibited in the Northern Mariana Islands except as provided by Commonwealth law or established through initiative in the Commonwealth or in any senatorial district."

Commonwealth Constitution, Art. XXI, § 1 (1985) (emphasis added).

WII claims that the Article XXI gambling prohibition eradicates preexisting Trust Territory municipal ordinances relating to gambling because the provision only exempts gambling laws created by the Commonwealth Legislature. In support of its position, WII asserts that the term "Commonwealth law" contained in the Article XXI exception clause does not include Trust Territory municipal ordinances. Accordingly, WII concludes that the Mayor no longer has the authority to issue licenses because the 1959 Ordinance conferring that power did not survive the general prohibition of Article XXI. On the contrary, IAC and Saipan share the view that the term "Commonwealth law" in Article XXI includes municipal ordinances created during the tenure of the

Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands, and that the Ordinance at issue safely falls within the exception clause of Article XXI.

In support of its argument, WII cites the rule of statutory construction that legislation made prior to the adoption of a constitutional amendment is repealed by implication when there is a conflict between the constitutional amendment and the preexisting statute. 1A SUTHERLAND STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION § 23.20 at 395 (5th ed. 1994). However, upon reviewing the plain language of Article XXI and the history of its adoption at the 1985 Constitutional Convention, the Court is convinced that the general gambling prohibition articulated in Article XXI does not conflict with the preexisting Ordinance establishing the Mayor's authority to issue a bingo license on an annual basis.

C. "Commonwealth Law" Includes Saipan Municipal Code

WII contends that the term "Commonwealth law" contained in the exception clause of Article XXI does not include the Saipan Municipal Code. WII's contention is based on the definition of the term "Commonwealth" in Section 9 of the Schedule on Transitional Matters (Schedule) and 1 CMC § 102 as "the government established under the Constitution." WII argues that the Ordinance cannot be considered "Commonwealth law" because "[an ordinance established under the Trust Territory] is not the same as Commonwealth law." See Memorandum in Support of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment at 3 (July 19, 1994). WII's argument fails to consider portions of the Covenant and an attachment to the Constitution itself which incorporate Trust Territory laws

including municipal ordinances within the framework of

According to section 505 of the Covenant to Establish a Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands in Political Union with the United States of America (Covenant):

[t]he laws . . . of the Mariana Islands District['s] local municipalities . . [in existence on January 9, 1978 that are] not inconsistent with this Covenant or with those provisions of the Constitution, treaties or laws of the United States applicable to the Northern Mariana Islands will remain in force and effect until and unless altered by the Government of the Northern Mariana Islands.

This provision assured the citizens of the Commonwealth that a full functioning body of law would be in existence at the advent of the local government established under the Constitution. See ANALYSIS OF THE COVENANT § 505 at 61 (Marianas Political Status Comm. Feb. 15, 1975). Section 2 of the Schedule mirrors the Covenant's incorporation of local laws: "Continuity of Laws. Laws in force in the Northern Mariana Islands on [January 8, 19781 that are consistent with the Constitution and the Covenant shall continue in force until they expire or are amended or repealed." Schedule at § 2 (Dec. 5, 1976). Section 2 of the Schedule confirmed that municipal ordinances enacted by municipal councils on Saipan, Tinian and Rota would continue to be in effect under the new Constitution if they were in force on the day preceding the effective day of the Constitution (Jan. 9, 1978). ANALYSIS OF THE Constitution of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands 194 (Dec. 6, 1976).

The clear statements in Section 505 of the *Covenant* and section 2 of the *Schedule*, and the analysis accompanying them, demonstrate that the Ordinance at issue became a part of the full

9

2 |

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

functioning body of law in the Commonwealth on January 9, 1978. Accordingly, the Court finds that the term "Commonwealth law" in Article XXI includes all Trust Territory local gambling ordinances that were in effect on January 9, 1978 and that have not since expired, or been amended or repealed.

Save for its narrow reading of the term "Commonwealth law" in Article XXI, WII has not offered any evidence that the Ordinance authorizing the Mayor to issue bingo licenses has been disturbed in any way by subsequent legislative action. To the contrary, Title 1, Section 5107(f)(3) appears to bolster this authority. 1/

Further, the Court's decision follows the intent of Article XXI's framers. Moments before the passage of Committee Recommendation 42 (Article XXI) at the Second Constitutional Convention, Delegate Villagomez summed up the intent of Article XXI with the following statement:

For the record and so the court will know what I mean when I submitted this motion which has been passed; No. 1, this amendment prohibits any type of gambling in the 2, this amendment grants to the C.N.M.I. No. Legislature the authority to permit any kind of gambling that they see fit. No. 3, this amendment permits each of the three senatorial districts to enact for their own district to permit any kind of gambling that they see fit for their particular district. No. 4, this amendment does not repeal or prohibit or make null and void any existing gambling that is permissible by
existing law, so that if batu, cockfighting, raffle, poker machines are currently existing because they are permitted by law, they shall continue unless that law is changed by the Legislature.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Title 1, Section 5107(f)(3) provides:

A Mayor shall also have the power and duty to [b]e responsible for Commonwealth programs and activities pertaining to [l]ocal registration and licensing formerly exercised by the chartered municipalities of Saipan, Rota, and Tinian.

¹ CMC \S 5107(f)(3).

SECOND CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION JOURNAL 547 (July 18, 1985) (emphasis added). Accordingly, Title 6, Sections 31-37 are still in full force and effect in the Commonwealth.

D. WII's Bingo License Void When Issued

т3

1.4

The Court is aware that WII received a license to operate a bingo parlor from the Department of Commerce and Labor. According to WII, the Department garnered its authority to issue licenses from Title 1, Division 2 of the Commonwealth Code which provides:

The Department of Commerce and Labor shall have the following powers and duties: [. . . I (d) To license and regulate businesses engaged in the construction trade and to license businesses which are not otherwise licensed or regulated by any other department, agency or instrumentality of the Commonwealth."

1 CMC § 2453(d). The regulation and licensing of the bingo industry has been left to the control of the local municipalities and their leaders. See e.g. 6 SMC § 31-37, 1 CMC § 5107(f)(3), 1 CMC § 1402(a)(10). Consequently, the Department had no authority to issue WII a bingo license because such activities are licensed by the Commonwealth Government agencies known as the offices of the mayors. See 1 CMC § 5101.the Court hereby DENIES WII's motion for summary judgment, and GRANTS IAC's and Saipan's respective cross-motions for partial summary judgment. Further, the Court hereby issues a dual declaration that: (1) Title 6, Chapter 4, Sections 31-37 of the Saipan Municipal Code is in full force and effect in the Commonwealth, and (2) the bingo license WII relied upon for its bingo operations was void when issued.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court hereby **DENIES** WII's motion for summary judgment, and GRANTS IAC's and Saipan's respective cross-motions for partial summary judgment. Further, the Court hereby issues a dual declaration that: (1) Title 6, Chapter 4, Sections 31-37 of the Saipan Municipal Code is in full force and effect in the Commonwealth, and (2) the bingo license WII relied upon for its bingo operations was void when issued.

Presiding Judge

So ORDERED this A day of December, 1994
